

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLIC	CATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/	720,782	11/24/2003	Mahmoud Assaf	P1454US01	4948
32709 7590 04/02/2007 Gateway Inc		7	EXAMINER		
	tent Attorney	/		WEI, ZHENG	
PO Box 2000 N. Sioux City, SD 57049				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7				2192	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS			04/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 -		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/720,782	ASSAF, MAHMOUD		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Zheng Wei	2192		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any ro	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 November 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or expending the content of	vn from consideration.			
	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	r			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment	(5)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-18, are drawn to a method for optimizing device performance by loading firmware module based on the based operating system type, classified in class 717, subclass 168.
- II. Claims 19-30, are drawn to a method for restoring a corrupted data structure with device self stored repair tools, classified in class 714, subclass 5

The inventions are distinct, each other because of the following reasons:

Invention Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instance case, invention Group I has separate utility such as providing a manner for loading a firmware module based on the saved type, while the invention of Group II has separate utility such as providing a method/application for restoring a corrupted operating systems with device self stored repair tools. See M.P.E.P. 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reason given above, the inventions acquire a separate status in the art in view of their different classifications and also require different fields of search for Group I and Group II (See M.P.E.P. 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Kevin West (Reg# 43,983) on 03/26/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but the examiner was told that the attorney is no longer with the law firm. Mr. Chad Swantz(Reg#46329) returned the phone call, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2192

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zheng Wei whose telephone number is (571) 270-1059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-15:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is 571- 272-1000.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZW

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER